arXiv:0903.3703v1 [math.AP] 22 Mar 2009

Ultra-analytic effect of Cauchy problem for a class of kinetic equations

Yoshinori MORIMOTO

Graduate School of Human and Environmental Studies
Kyoto University, Kyoto, 606-8501, Japan

Chao-Jiang XU

Université de Rouen, UMR-6085, Mathématiques
76801 Saint Etienne du Rouvray, France
and
School of Mathematics and Statistics, Wuhan University, Wuhan 430072, China

---

Abstract

The smoothing effect of the Cauchy problem for a class of kinetic equations is studied. We firstly consider the spatially homogeneous nonlinear Landau equation with Maxwellian molecules and inhomogeneous linear Fokker-Planck equation to show the ultra-analytic effects of the Cauchy problem. Those smoothing effect results are optimal and similar to heat equation. In the second part, we study a model of spatially inhomogeneous linear Landau equation with Maxwellian molecules, and show the analytic effect of the Cauchy problem.

Key words: Landau equation, Fokker-Planck equation, ultra-analytic effect of Cauchy problem.

AMS Classification: 35A05, 35B65, 35D10, 35H20, 76P05, 84C40

1. Introduction

It is well known that the Cauchy problem of heat equation possesses the ultra-analytic effect phenomenon, namely, if $u(t,x)$ is the solution of the following Cauchy problem :

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t u - \Delta_x u = 0, & x \in \mathbb{R}^d; \quad t > 0 \\ u|_{t=0} = u_0 \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^d), & \end{cases}$$

then under the uniqueness hypothesis, the solution $u(t,\,\cdot\,) = e^{t\Delta_x} u_0$ is an ultra-analytic function for any $t > 0$. We give now the definition of function spaces $\mathcal{A}^s(\emptyset)$ where $\emptyset$ is an open subset of $\mathbb{R}^d$.

Email addresses: `morimoto@math.h.kyoto-u.ac.jp` (Yoshinori MORIMOTO), `Chao-Jiang.Xu@univ-rouen.fr` (Chao-Jiang XU)

Preprint submitted to Elsevier *March 23, 2009*

Definition 1.1. *For* $0 < s < +\infty$, *we say that* $f \in \mathcal{A}^s(\emptyset)$, *if* $f \in C^\infty(\emptyset)$, *and there exists* $C > 0, N_0 > 0$ *such that*

$$\|\partial^\alpha f\|_{L^2(\emptyset)} \leq C^{|\alpha|+1}(\alpha!)^s, \qquad \forall\ \alpha \in \mathbb{N}^d,\ |\alpha| \geq N_0.$$

If the boundary of Ω *is smooth, by using Sobolev embedding theorem, we have the same type estimate with* L^2 *norm replaced by any* L^p *norm for* $2 < p \leq +\infty$. *On the whole space* $\emptyset = \mathbb{R}^d$, *it is also equivalent to*

$$e^{c_0(-\Delta)^{\frac{1}{2s}}}(\partial^{\beta_0} f) \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$$

for some $c_0 > 0$ *and* $\beta_0 \in \mathbb{N}^d$, *where* $e^{c_0(-\Delta)^{\frac{1}{2s}}}$ *is the Fourier multiplier defined by*

$$e^{c_0(-\Delta)^{\frac{1}{2s}}}u(x) = \mathcal{F}^{-1}\Big(e^{c_0|\xi|^{\frac{1}{s}}}\,\hat{u}(\xi)\Big).$$

If $s = 1$, it is usual analytic function. If $s > 1$, it is Gevrey class function. For $0 < s < 1$, it is called ultra-analytic function. Notice that all polynomial functions are ultra-analytic for any $s > 0$.

It is obvious that if $u_0 \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$ then, for any $t > 0$ and any $k \in \mathbb{N}$, we have $u(t,\,\cdot\,) = e^{-t(-\Delta_x)^k}u_0 \in \mathcal{A}^{\frac{1}{2k}}(\mathbb{R}^d)$, namely, there exists $C > 0$ such that for any $m \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$\begin{aligned} \|(t^m\partial_x^{2km})u(t,\,\cdot\,)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)} &\leq C^{k\,m}\|(t(-\Delta_x)^k)^m u(t,\,\cdot\,)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)} \\ &\leq \|u_0\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)}C^{k\,m}m\,! \leq \tilde{C}^{2k\,m+1}\big((2km)\,!\big)^{\frac{1}{2k}}\,, \end{aligned}$$

where $\partial_x^{2km} = \sum_{|\alpha|=2km,\alpha\in\mathbb{N}^d}\partial_x^\alpha$. We say that the diffusion operators $(-\Delta_x)^k$ possess the ultra-analytic effect property if $k > 1/2$, the analytic effect property if $k = 1/2$ and the Gevrey effect property if $0 < k < 1/2$.

We study the Cauchy problem for spatially homogeneous Landau equation

$$\left\{\begin{array}{l} f_t = Q(f,\,f) \equiv \nabla_v\big(\bar{a}(f)\cdot\nabla_v f - \bar{b}(f)f\big), \qquad v \in \mathbb{R}^d,\ t > 0, \\ f|_{t=0} = f_0, \end{array}\right. \tag{1.1}$$

where $\bar{a}(f) = (\bar{a}_{ij}(f))$ and $\bar{b}(f) = (\bar{b}_1(f),\,\cdots,\,\bar{b}_d(f)\,)$ are defined as follows (convolution is w. r. t. the variable $v \in \mathbb{R}^d$)

$$\bar{a}_{ij}(f) = a_{ij} \star f, \quad \bar{b}_j(f) = \sum_{i=1}^d \big(\partial_{v_i}a_{ij}\big) \star f\,, \quad i,j = 1,\cdots,d,$$

with

$$a_{ij}(v) = \left(\delta_{ij} - \frac{v_iv_j}{|v|^2}\right)|v|^{\gamma+2}, \qquad \gamma \in [-3,1].$$

We consider hereafter only the Maxwellian molecule case which corresponds to $\gamma = 0$. We introduce also the notation, for $l \in \mathbb{R}$, $L_l^p(\mathbb{R}^d) = \{f;\,(1+|v|^2)^{l/2}f \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^d)\}$ is the weighted function space.

We prove the following ultra-analytic effect results for the nonlinear Cauchy problem (1.1).

Theorem 1.1. *Let* $f_0 \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)\bigcap L^1_2(\mathbb{R}^d)$ *and* $0 < T \leq +\infty$. *If* $f(t,x) > 0$ *and* $f \in L^\infty(]0,T[;\, L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)\bigcap L^1_2(\mathbb{R}^d))$ *is a weak solution of the Cauchy problem (1.1), then for any* $0 < t < T$, *we have*

$$f(t,\,\cdot\,) \in \mathcal{A}^{1/2}(\mathbb{R}^d),$$

and moreover, for any $0 < T_0 < T$, *there exists* $c_0 > 0$ *such that for any* $0 < t \leq T_0$

$$\left\| e^{-c_0 t \triangle_v} f(t,\,\cdot\,)\right\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)} \leq e^{\frac{d}{2}t}\|f_0\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)}. \tag{1.2}$$

In [17], they proved the Gevrey regularity effect of the Cauchy problem for linear spatially homogeneous non-cut-off Boltzmann equation. By a careful revision for the proof of Theorem 1.2 of [17], one can also prove that the solution of the Cauchy problem (1.10) in [17] belongs to $\mathcal{A}^{\frac{1}{2\alpha}}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ for any $t > 0$, where $0 < \alpha < 1$ is the order of singularity of collision kernel of Boltzmann operator. Hence, if $\alpha \geq 1/2$, there is also the ultra-analytic effect phenomenon. Now the above Theorem 1.1 shows that, for Landau equation, the ultra-analytic effect phenomenon holds in nonlinear case, which is an optimal regularity result.

The ultra-analytic effect property is also true for the Cauchy problem of the following generalized Kolmogorov operators

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t u + v\,\cdot\,\nabla_x u + \left(-\Delta_v\right)^\alpha u = 0, & (x,v)\in\mathbb{R}^{2d};\quad t>0 \\ u|_{t=0} = u_0 \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^{2d}), \end{cases}$$

where $0 < \alpha < \infty$, and the classical Kolmogorov operators is corresponding to $\alpha = 1$. By Fourier transformation, the explicit solution of the above Cauchy problem is given by

$$\hat{u}(t,\eta,\xi) = e^{-\int_0^t |\xi+s\eta|^{2\alpha}ds}\,\hat{u}_0(\eta,\xi+t\eta).$$

Since there exists $c_\alpha > 0$ (see Lemma 3.1 below) such that

$$c_\alpha\left(t|\xi|^{2\alpha} + t^{2\alpha+1}|\eta|^{2\alpha}\right) \leq \int_0^t |\xi+s\eta|^{2\alpha}ds, \tag{1.3}$$

we have

$$e^{c_\alpha(t(-\Delta_v)^\alpha + t^{2\alpha+1}(-\Delta_x)^\alpha)}u(t,\,\cdot,\,\cdot\,) \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^{2d}),$$

i. e. $u(t,\,\cdot,\,\cdot\,) \in \mathcal{A}^{1/(2\alpha)}(\mathbb{R}^{2d})$ for any $t > 0$.

Notice that this ultra-analytic (if $\alpha > 1/2$) effect phenomenon is similar to heat equations of (x,v) variables. That is, this means $v\,\cdot\,\nabla_x + (-\Delta_v)^\alpha$ is equivalent to $(-\Delta_x)^\alpha + (-\Delta_v)^\alpha$ by time evolution in “some sense”, though the equation is only transport for x variable.

We consider now a more complicate equation, the Cauchy problem for linear Fokker-Planck equation :

$$\begin{cases} f_t + v\cdot\nabla_x f = \nabla_v\,\cdot\,\left(\nabla_v f + vf\right), & (x,v)\in\mathbb{R}^{2d},\ t>0\,; \\ f|_{t=0} = f_0\,. \end{cases} \tag{1.4}$$

This equation is a natural generalization of classical Kolmogorov equation, and a simplified model of inhomogeneous Landau equation (see [20, 21]). The local property of this equation is the same as classical Kolmogorov equation since the add terms $\nabla_v \cdot (vf)$ is a first order term, but for the studies of kinetic equation, v is velocity variable, and hence it is in whole space $\mathbb{R}^d_v$. Then there occurs additional difficulty for analysis of this equation.

The definition of weak solution in the function space $L^\infty(]0, T[; L^2(\mathbb{R}^{2d}_{x,\,v}) \cap L^1_1(\mathbb{R}^{2d}_{x,\,v}))$ for the Cauchy problem is standard in the distribution sense, where for $1 \leq p < +\infty, l \in \mathbb{R}$

$$L^p_l(\mathbb{R}^{2d}_{x,\,v}) = \Big\{ f \in \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^{2d}); (1+|v|^2)^{l/2} f \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^{2d}_{x,\,v}) \Big\}.$$

The existence of weak solution is similar to full Landau equation (see [1, 13]). We get also the following ultra-analytic effect result.

Theorem 1.2. *Let $f_0 \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^{2d}_{x,\,v}) \cap L^1_1(\mathbb{R}^{2d}_{x,\,v})$,$0 < T \leq +\infty$. Assume that $f \in L^\infty(]0, T[$-$; L^2(\mathbb{R}^{2d}_{x,\,v}) \cap L^1_1(\mathbb{R}^{2d}_{x,\,v}))$ is a weak solution of the Cauchy problem (1.4). Then, for any $0 < t < T$, we have*

$$f(t, \cdot, \cdot) \in \mathcal{A}^{1/2}(\mathbb{R}^{2d}).$$

Furthermore, for any $0 < T_0 < T$ there exists $c_0 > 0$ such that for any $0 < t \leq T_0$, we have

$$\Big\| e^{-c_0(t\triangle_v + t^3\triangle_x)} f(t, \cdot, \cdot) \Big\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^{2d})} \leq e^{\frac{d}{2}t} \|f_0\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^{2d})}. \tag{1.5}$$

Remark 1.1. *The ultra-analyticity results of the above two theorems are optimal for the smoothness properties of solutions. From these results, we obtain a good understanding for the hypoellipticity of kinetic equations (see [11, 14]), and also the relationship, established by Villani [19] and Desvillettes-Villani [10], between the nonlinear Landau equation (with Maxwellian molecules) and the linear Fokker-Planck equation.*

We consider now the spatially inhomogeneous Landau equation

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} f_t + v \cdot \nabla_x f = Q(f,\, f), \ \ (x, v) \in \mathbb{R}^{2d}, \quad t > 0\,; \\ f|_{t=0} = f_0(x, v). \end{array} \right. \tag{1.6}$$

The problem is now much more complicate since the solution f is the function of (t, x, v) variables. We consider it here only in the linearized framework around the normalized Maxwellian distribution

$$\mu(v) = (2\pi)^{-\frac{d}{2}} e^{-\frac{|v|^2}{2}},$$

which is the equilibrium state because $Q(\mu,\, \mu) = 0$. Setting $f = \mu + g$, we consider the diffusion part of linear Landau collision operators,

$$Q(\mu,\ g) = \nabla_v \Big(\bar{a}(\mu) \cdot \nabla_v g - \bar{b}(\mu) g \Big)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{a}_{ij}(\mu) &= a_{ij} \star \mu = \delta_{ij}(|v|^2 + 1) - v_i v_j, \\ \bar{b}_j(\mu) &= \sum_{i=1}^d \big(\partial_{v_i} a_{ij} \big) \star \mu = -v_j, \quad i,\, j = 1, \cdots, d. \end{aligned}$$

In particular, it follows that

$$\sum_{ij=1}^{d} \bar{a}_{ij}(\mu)\xi_i\xi_j \geq |\xi|^2, \quad \text{for all } (v,\, \xi) \in \mathbb{R}^{2d}. \tag{1.7}$$

We then consider the following Cauchy problem

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} g_t + v\cdot\nabla_x g = \nabla_v\Big(\bar{a}(\mu)\cdot\nabla_v g - \bar{b}(\mu) g\Big), \quad (x,\, v)\in\mathbb{R}^{2d}, \quad t>0\,; \\ g|_{t=0} = g_0. \end{array} \right. \tag{1.8}$$

We can also look this equation as a linear model of spatially inhomogeneous Landau equation, which is much more complicate than linear Fokker-Planck equation (1.4), since the coefficients of diffusion part are now variables. The existence and C^∞ regularity of weak solution for the Cauchy problem have been considered in [1]. We prove now the following;

Theorem 1.3. *Let* $g_0 \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^{2d}_{x,\,v}) \cap L^1_2(\mathbb{R}^{2d}_{x,\,v})$, $0 < T \leq +\infty$. *Assume that* $g \in L^\infty(]0,\, T[;\, L^2(\mathbb{R}^{2d}_{x,\,v}) \cap L^1_2(\mathbb{R}^{2d}_{x,\,v}))$ *is a weak solution of the Cauchy problem (1.8). Then, for any* $0 < t < T$, *we have*

$$g(t,\,\cdot,\,\cdot\,) \in \mathcal{A}^1(\mathbb{R}^{2d}).$$

Furthermore, for any $0 < T_0 < T$ *there exist* $C,\ c > 0$ *such that for any* $0 < t \leq T_0$, *we have*

$$\Big\| e^{c(t(-\triangle_v)^{1/2} + t^2(-\triangle_x)^{1/2})} g(t,\,\cdot,\,\cdot\,)\Big\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^{2d})} \leq e^{C\,t}\ \|g_0\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^{2d})}. \tag{1.9}$$

In this theorem, we only consider the analytic effect result for the Cauchy problem (1.8), neglecting the symmetric term $Q(g,\mu)$ in the linearized operators of Landau collision operator (cf.,(1.15) of [1]) because of the technical difficulty, see the remark in the end of section 4.

There have been many results about the regularity of solutions for Boltzmann equation without angular cut-off and Landau equation, see [1, 2, 3, 6, 7, 9, 12, 15, 16] for the C^∞ smoothness results, and [4, 5, 8, 18, 17] for Gevrey regularity results for Boltzmann equation and Landau equation in both cases : the spatially homogeneous and inhomogeneous cases. As for the analytic and Gevrey regularities, we remark that the propagation of Gevrey regularities of solutions is investigated in [5] for full nonlinear spatially homogeneous Landau equations, including non-Maxwellian molecule case, and the local Gevrey regularity for all variables t, x, v is considered in [4] for some semi-linear Fokker-Planck equations. Comparing those results, the ultra-analyticity for x, v variables showed in Theorem 1.1 is strong although the Maxwellian molecule case is only treated. As a related result for spatially homogeneous Boltzmann equation in the Maxwellian molecule case, we refer [8], where the propagation of Gevrey and ultra-analytic regularity is studied uniformly in time variable t. Throughout the present paper, we focus the smoothing effect of the Cauchy problem, and the uniform smoothness estimate near to $t = 0$. Concerning further details of the analytic and Gevrey regularities of solutions for Landau equations and Boltzmann equation without angular cut-off, we refer the introduction of [5] and references therein.

2. Spatially homogeneous Landau equations

We consider the Cauchy problem (1.1) and prove Theorem 1.1 in this section. We refer to the works of C. Villani [19, 20] for the essential properties of homogeneous Landau equations. We suppose the existence of weak solution $f(t,v) > 0$ in $L^\infty(]0,T[; L^1_2(\mathbb{R}^d)\bigcap L^2(\mathbb{R}^d))$. The conservation of mass, momentum and energy reads,

$$\frac{d}{dt}\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} f(t,v)\begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ v \\ |v|^2 \end{pmatrix} dv \equiv 0.$$

Without loss of generality, we can suppose that

$$\begin{array}{rl}
\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} f(t,v)dv = 1, & \text{unit mass} \\
\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} f(t,v)v_j dv = 0, \quad j=1,\cdots,d; & \text{zero mean velocity} \\
\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} f(t,v)|v|^2 dv = T_0, & \text{unit temperature} \\
\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} f(t,v)v_j v_k dv = T_j\,\delta_{jk}, & \sum_j^d T_j = T_0 \\
T_j = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} f(t,v)v_j^2 dv > 0, \quad j=1,\cdots,d; & \text{directional temperatures .}
\end{array}$$

Then we have,

$$\bar{a}_{jk}(f) = \delta_{jk}(|v|^2 + T_0 - T_j) - v_j v_k \ ; \tag{2.1}$$

$$\bar{b}_j(f) = -v_j \ ; \tag{2.2}$$

$$\sum_{j,k}^d \bar{a}_{jk}(f)\xi_j\xi_k \geq C_1|\xi|^2 \ , \ \ \forall\ (v,\xi) \in \mathbb{R}^{2d}\,. \tag{2.3}$$

where $C_1 = \min_{1\leq j\leq d}\{T_0 - T_j\} > 0$.

Now for $N > \frac{d}{4}+1$ and $0<\delta<1/N$, $c_0>0$, $t>0$, set

$$G_\delta(t,|\xi|) = \frac{e^{c_0 t|\xi|^2}}{\left(1+\delta e^{c_0 t|\xi|^2}\right)(1+\delta c_0 t|\xi|^2)^N}.$$

Since $G_\delta(t,\,\cdot\,) \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)$, we can use it as Fourier multiplier, denoted by

$$G_\delta(t,D_v)f(t,v) = \mathcal{F}^{-1}\Big(G_\delta(t,|\xi|)\hat{f}(t,\xi)\Big).$$

Then, for any $t>0$,

$$G_\delta(t) = G_\delta(t,D_v) : L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)\ \to\ H^{2N}(\mathbb{R}^d) \subset C^2_b(\mathbb{R}^d).$$

The object of this section is to prove the uniform bound (with respect to $\delta>0$) of

$$\|G_\delta(t,D_v)f(t,\,\cdot\,)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)}.$$

Since $f(t,\,\cdot\,) \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)\bigcap L^1_2(\mathbb{R}^d)$ is a weak solution, we can take

$$G_\delta(t)^2 f(t,\,\cdot\,) = G_\delta(t,D_v)^2 f(t,\,\cdot\,) \in H^{2N}(\mathbb{R}^d),$$

as test function in the equation of (1.1), whence we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\frac{1}{2}\frac{d}{dt}\|G_\delta(t)f(t,\,\cdot\,)\|^2_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)}+\sum_{j,k=1}^{d}\int_{\mathbb{R}^d}\bar{a}_{j\,k}(f)\Big(\partial_{v_j}G_\delta(t)f(t,v)\Big)\\
&\qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad\times\overline{\Big(\partial_{v_k}G_\delta(t)f(t,v)\Big)}dv\\
=\;&\frac{1}{2}\Big(\Big(\partial_t G_\delta(t)\Big)f,G_\delta(t)f\Big)_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)}+\sum_{j=1}^{d}\int_{\mathbb{R}^d}\Big(\partial_{v_j}\big(v_jf(t,v)\big)\Big)\,\overline{G_\delta(t)^2f(t,v)}\,dv\\
&+\sum_{j,k=1}^{d}\int_{\mathbb{R}^d}\Big\{\bar{a}_{jk}(f)\Big(G_\delta(t)\partial_{v_j}f(t,v)\Big)-G_\delta(t)\Big(\bar{a}_{jk}(f)\partial_{v_j}f(t,v)\Big)\Big\}\\
&\qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad\times\overline{\Big(\partial_{v_k}G_\delta(t)f(t,v)\Big)}\;dv.
\end{aligned}
$$

To estimate the terms in the above equality, we prove the following two propositions.

Proposition 2.1. *We have*

$$
\begin{aligned}
C_1\|\nabla_vG_\delta(t)f(t)\|^2_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)}\le\sum_{j,k=1}^{d}\int_{\mathbb{R}^d}&\bar{a}_{jk}(f)\Big(\partial_{v_j}G_\delta(t,D_v)f(t,v)\Big)\\
&\times\overline{\Big(\partial_{v_k}G_\delta(t,D_v)f(t,v)\Big)}\,dv.
\end{aligned}\tag{2.4}
$$

$$
\Big|\Big(\Big(\partial_tG_\delta(t)\Big)f,\;G_\delta(t)f\Big)_{L^2}\Big|\le c_0\|\nabla_vG_\delta(t)f(t)\|^2_{L^2}.\tag{2.5}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{Re}\sum_{j=1}^{d}\int_{\mathbb{R}^d}&\Big(\partial_{v_j}\big(v_jf(t,v)\big)\Big)\;\overline{G_\delta(t)^2f(t,v)}\,dv\\
&\le\frac{d}{2}\|G_\delta(t)f(t)\|^2_{L^2}+2c_0t\|\nabla_vG_\delta(t)f(t)\|^2_{L^2}\,.
\end{aligned}\tag{2.6}
$$

Proof : The estimate (2.4) is exactly the elliptic condition (2.3). By using the Fourier transformation, (2.5) is deduced from the following calculus

$$
\partial_tG_\delta(t,|\xi|)=c_0|\xi|^2G_\delta(t,|\xi|)\left(\frac{1}{1+\delta e^{c_0t|\xi|^2}}-\frac{N\delta}{1+\delta c_0t|\xi|^2}\right)=c_0|\xi|^2G_\delta(t,|\xi|)\,J_{N,\delta}
$$

where

$$
|J_{N,\delta}|=\left|\frac{1}{1+\delta e^{c_0t|\xi|^2}}-\frac{N\delta}{1+\delta c_0t|\xi|^2}\right|\le 1.
$$

To treat (2.6), we use

$$
\partial_{\xi_j}G_\delta(t,|\xi|)=2c_0t\xi_jG_\delta(t,|\xi|)\,J_{N,\delta}.\tag{2.7}
$$

Then, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\mathrm{Re}\sum_{j=1}^{d}\int_{\mathbb{R}^d}\Big(\partial_{v_j}\big(v_j f(t,v)\big)\Big)\ \overline{G_\delta(t,D_v)^2 f(t,v)}\,dv\\
=\ &-\mathrm{Re}\sum_{j=1}^{d}\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} v_j G_\delta(t,D_v)f(t,v)\overline{\Big(\partial_{v_j}G_\delta(t,D_v)f(t,v)\Big)}\,dv\\
&-\mathrm{Re}\sum_{j=1}^{d}\int_{\mathbb{R}^d}\Big([G_\delta(t,D_v),v_j]f(t,v)\Big)\overline{\Big(\partial_{v_j}G_\delta(t,D_v)f(t,v)\Big)}\,dv\\
=\ &\frac{d}{2}\|G_\delta(t)f(t,\,\cdot)\|^2_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)}-\mathrm{Re}\sum_{j=1}^{d}\int_{\mathbb{R}^d}\Big([G_\delta(t,D_v),v_j]f(t,v)\Big)\\
&\qquad\qquad\times\overline{\Big(\partial_{v_j}G_\delta(t,D_v)f(t,v)\Big)}\,dv.
\end{aligned}
$$

Using Fourier transformation and (2.7), we have that for $t>0$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
&-\sum_{j=1}^{d}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\Big([G_\delta(t,D_v),v_j]f(t,v)\Big)\overline{\Big(\partial_{v_j}G_\delta(t,D_v)f(t,v)\Big)}dv\\
=\ &-\sum_{j=1}^{d}\int_{\mathbb{R}^d}\Big(G_\delta(t,D_v)v_j f(t,v)-v_jG_\delta(t,D_v)f(t,v)\Big)\overline{\Big(\partial_{v_j}G_\delta(t,D_v)f(t,v)\Big)}dv\\
=\ &\sum_{j=1}^{d}\int_{\mathbb{R}^d}\Big\{i\partial_{\xi_j}\big(G_\delta(t,|\xi|)\hat f(t,\xi)\Big)-G_\delta(t,|\xi|)\big(i\partial_{\xi_j}\hat f(t,\xi)\big)\Big\}G_\delta(t,|\xi|)\ \overline{i\xi_j\hat f(t,\xi)}d\xi\\
=\ &\sum_{j=1}^{d}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\Big(\partial_{\xi_j}G_\delta(t,|\xi|)\Big)\hat f(t,\xi)\xi_jG_\delta(t,|\xi|)\overline{\hat f(t,\xi)}d\xi\\
=\ &2c_0t\int_{\mathbb{R}^d}|\xi|^2|G_\delta(t,|\xi|)\hat f(t,\xi)|^2J_{N,\delta}\,d\xi\le 2c_0t\int_{\mathbb{R}^d}|\xi|^2|G_\delta(t,|\xi|)\hat f(t,\xi)|^2d\xi\,,
\end{aligned}
$$

which give (2.6). The proof of Proposition 2.1 is now complete.

For the commutator term, the special structure of the operator implies

Proposition 2.2.

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\sum_{j,k=1}^{d}\int_{\mathbb{R}^d}\Big\{\bar a_{jk}(f)\Big(G_\delta(t,D_v)\partial_{v_j}f(t,v)\Big)-G_\delta(t,D_v)\Big(\bar a_{jk}(f)\partial_{v_j}f(t,v)\Big)\Big\}\\
&\qquad\qquad\times\overline{\Big(\partial_{v_k}G_\delta(t,D_v)f(t,v)\Big)}\,dv=0.
\end{aligned}
$$

Proof : We introduce now polar coordinates on $\mathbb{R}^d_\xi$ by setting $r=|\xi|$ and $\omega=\xi/|\xi|\in\mathbb{S}^{d-1}$. Note that $\partial/\partial\xi_j=\omega_j\partial/\partial r+r^{-1}\Omega_j$ where Ω_j is a vector field on $\mathbb{S}^{d-1}$, and (see

[14], Proposition 14.7.1)

$$\sum_{j=1}^{d}\omega_j\Omega_j = 0,\ \ \sum_{j=1}^{d}\Omega_j\omega_j = d-1\ \ . \tag{2.8}$$

By using Fourier transformation, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&-\sum_{j,k=1}^{d}\int_{\mathbb{R}^d}\Big\{\bar{a}_{jk}(f)\Big(G_\delta(t,D_v)\partial_{v_j}f(t,v)\Big) - G_\delta(t,D_v)\Big(\bar{a}_{jk}(f)\partial_{v_j}f(t,v)\Big)\Big\}\\
&\qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad\times\overline{\Big(\partial_{v_k}G_\delta(t,D_v)f(t,v)\Big)}\,dv\\
&= \int_{\mathbb{R}^d}\Big\{\sum_{j,k=1}^{d}\xi_k\Big[\Big(\delta_{jk}\Delta_\xi - \partial_{\xi_k}\partial_{\xi_j}\Big)\,,\,G_\delta(t,|\xi|)\Big]\,\xi_j\hat{f}(t,\xi)\Big\}\times G_\delta(t,|\xi|)\overline{\hat{f}(t,\xi)}d\xi.
\end{aligned}$$

Noting, in polar coordinates on $\mathbb{R}^d_\xi$,

$$\Delta_\xi = \frac{\partial^2}{\partial r^2} + \frac{d-1}{r}\frac{\partial}{\partial r} + \frac{1}{r^2}\sum_{j=1}^{d}\Omega_j^2\,,$$

we have, denoting by $\tilde{G}(r^2) = G_\delta(t,\,r)$,

$$\begin{aligned}
&\sum_{j,k=1}^{d}\omega_k\Big[\Big(\delta_{jk}\Big\{\frac{\partial^2}{\partial r^2} + \frac{d-1}{r}\frac{\partial}{\partial r}\Big\}\\
&\qquad\qquad -\Big\{(\omega_k\partial/\partial r + r^{-1}\Omega_k)(\omega_j\partial/\partial r + r^{-1}\Omega_j)\Big\}\Big)\,,\,\tilde{G}(r^2)\Big]\,\omega_j\\
&= \Big[\frac{\partial^2}{\partial r^2} + \frac{d-1}{r}\frac{\partial}{\partial r}\,,\,\tilde{G}(r^2)\Big]\\
&\qquad -\Big[\Big(\sum_{k=1}^{d}(\omega_k^2\partial/\partial r + r^{-1}\omega_k\Omega_k)\sum_{j=1}^{d}(\omega_j^2\partial/\partial r + r^{-1}\Omega_j\omega_j)\Big)\,,\,\tilde{G}(r^2)\Big]\\
&= \Big[\frac{\partial^2}{\partial r^2} + \frac{d-1}{r}\frac{\partial}{\partial r}\,,\,\tilde{G}(r^2)\Big] - \Big[\frac{\partial^2}{\partial r^2} + \frac{\partial}{\partial r}\frac{d-1}{r}\,,\,\tilde{G}(r^2)\Big] = 0,
\end{aligned}$$

where we have used (2.8). Then we finish the proof of Proposition 2.2.

Remark 2.1. *In the above proof of Proposition 2.2, we have used the polar coordinates in the dual variable of v, which is essentially related to a form of the Landau operator with Maxwellian molecules. We notice that the same relation (in v variable) was described by Villani [19] and Desvillettes-Villani [10].*

End of proof of Theorem 1.1 :

From Propositions 2.1 and 2.2, we get

$$\begin{aligned}
&\frac{1}{2}\frac{d}{dt}\|G_\delta(t)f(t,\,\cdot\,)\|^2_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)} + (C_1 - \frac{1}{2}c_0 - 2c_0t)\|\nabla_v G_\delta(t)f(t,\,\cdot\,)\|^2_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)}\\
&\qquad\qquad\qquad \le \frac{d}{2}\|G_\delta(t)f(t,\,\cdot\,)\|^2_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)}.
\end{aligned}$$

For any $0 < T_0 < T$, choose c_0 small enough such that $C_1 - \frac{1}{2}c_0 - 2c_0T_0 \geq 0$. Then we get

$$\frac{d}{dt}\|G_\delta(t)f(t,\,\cdot\,)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)} \leq \frac{d}{2}\|G_\delta(t)f(t,\,\cdot\,)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)}. \tag{2.9}$$

Integrating the inequality (2.9) on $]0,\,t[$, we obtain

$$\|G_\delta(t)f(t,\,\cdot\,)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)} \leq e^{\frac{d}{2}t}\|f_0\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)}. \tag{2.10}$$

Take limit $\delta \to 0$ in (2.10). Then we get

$$\|e^{-c_0 t \triangle_v} f(t,\,\cdot\,)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)} \leq e^{\frac{d}{2}t}\|f_0\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)} \tag{2.11}$$

for any $0 < t \leq T_0$. We have now proved $f(t,\,\cdot\,) \in \mathcal{A}^{1/2}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ and Theorem 1.1.

3. Linear Fokker-Planck equations

In the paper [19], there is an exact solution for spatially homogeneous linear Fokker-Planck equation. In the inhomogeneous case we can also obtain an exact solution of the Cauchy problem (1.4). Denote by

$$\hat{f}(t,\eta,\xi) = \mathcal{F}_{x,v}(f(t,x,v)),$$

the partial Fourier transformation of f with respect to (x,v) variable. Then, by Fourier transformation for (x,v) variables, the linear Fokker-Planck equation (1.4) becomes

$$\begin{cases} \frac{\partial}{\partial t}\hat{f}(t,\eta,\xi) - \eta\,\cdot\,\nabla_\xi\hat{f}(t,\eta,\xi) + \xi\,\cdot\,\nabla_\xi\hat{f}(t,\eta,\xi) = -|\xi|^2\hat{f}(t,\eta,\xi)\,; \\ \hat{f}|_{t=0} = \mathcal{F}(f_0)(\eta,\xi). \end{cases}$$

Therefore we obtain the exact solution

$$\hat{f}(t,\xi,\eta) = \hat{f}(0,\xi e^{-t} + \eta(1-e^{-t}),\eta)\ \exp\Big(-\int_0^t |\xi e^{\tau - t} + \eta(1-e^{\tau - t})|^2 d\tau\Big).$$

Note that

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_0^t |\xi e^{-\tau} + \eta(1-e^{-\tau})|^2 d\tau \\ = \ & \frac{1-e^{-2t}}{2}|\xi|^2 + (1-e^{-t})^2\xi\cdot\eta + \Big(t - \frac{3+e^{-2t}}{2} + 2e^{-t}\Big)|\eta|^2 \\ = \ & (X - \frac{X^2}{2})|\xi|^2 + X^2\xi\cdot\eta + (-\log(1-X) - X - \frac{X^2}{2})|\eta|^2, \end{aligned}$$

where $X = 1 - e^{-t} \sim t$. We have for $0 < K < 2/3$

$$\int_0^t |\xi e^{-\tau} + \eta(1-e^{-\tau})|^2 d\tau \geq X(1 - 1/(2K) - X/2)|\xi|^2 + (1/3 - K/2)X^3|\eta|^2.$$

Hence for $t \sim X < 2 - 1/K$, we get

$$f(t,\,\cdot,\,\cdot\,) \in \mathcal{A}^{1/2}(\mathbb{R}^{2d}),$$

so that the ultra-analytic effect holds for any $t > 0$ by means of the semi-group property. But we cannot get the uniform estimate (1.5).

We present now the proof of (1.5) which implies the ultra-analytic effect, by commutator estimates similarly as for homogeneous Landau equation. Set

$$w(t,\eta,\xi) = \hat{f}(t,\eta,\xi - t\eta).$$

Then the Cauchy problem (1.4) is equivalent to

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} w(t,\eta,\xi) = -|\xi - t\eta|^2 w(t,\eta,\xi) - (\xi - t\eta)\, \cdot\, \nabla_\xi w(t,\eta,\xi)\,; \\ w|_{t=0} = \mathcal{F}(f_0)(\eta,\xi). \end{array} \right. \tag{3.1}$$

Since we need to study the function $\int_0^t |\xi - s\eta|^2 ds$, we prove the following estimate.

Lemma 3.1. *For any $\alpha > 0$, there exists a constant $c_\alpha > 0$ such that*

$$\int_0^t |\xi - s\eta|^\alpha ds \geq c_\alpha (t|\xi|^\alpha + t^{\alpha+1}|\eta|^\alpha). \tag{3.2}$$

Remark 3.1. *If $\alpha = 2$, we can get the above estimate by direct calculation. The following simple proof is due to Seiji Ukai.*

Proof : Setting $s = t\tau$ and $\tilde{\eta} = t\eta$, we see that the estimate is equivalent to

$$\int_0^1 |\xi - \tau\tilde{\eta}|^\alpha d\tau \geq c_\alpha (|\xi|^\alpha + |\tilde{\eta}|^\alpha).$$

Since this is trivial when $\tilde{\eta} = 0$, we may assume $\tilde{\eta} \neq 0$. If $|\xi| < |\tilde{\eta}|$ then

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_0^1 |\xi - \tau\tilde{\eta}|^\alpha d\tau \geq |\tilde{\eta}|^\alpha \int_0^1 \left| \tau - \frac{|\xi|}{|\tilde{\eta}|} \right|^\alpha d\tau \\ = \;& |\tilde{\eta}|^\alpha \left\{ \int_0^{|\xi|/|\tilde{\eta}|} \Big(\frac{|\xi|}{|\tilde{\eta}|} - \tau \Big)^\alpha d\tau + \int_{|\xi|/|\tilde{\eta}|}^1 \Big(\tau - \frac{|\xi|}{|\tilde{\eta}|} \Big)^\alpha d\tau \right\} \\ \geq \;& \frac{|\tilde{\eta}|^\alpha}{\alpha+1} \min_{0\leq\theta\leq1} (\theta^{\alpha+1} + (1-\theta)^{\alpha+1}) = \frac{|\tilde{\eta}|^\alpha}{2^\alpha(\alpha+1)} \\ \geq \;& \frac{1}{2^{\alpha+1}(\alpha+1)} (|\xi|^\alpha + |\tilde{\eta}|^\alpha). \end{aligned}$$

If $|\xi| \geq |\tilde{\eta}|$ then

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^1 |\xi - \tau\tilde{\eta}|^\alpha d\tau \;&\geq\; |\xi|^\alpha \int_0^1 \Big(1 - \tau \frac{|\tilde{\eta}|}{|\xi|} \Big)^\alpha d\tau \geq |\xi|^\alpha \int_0^1 \big(1 - \tau \big)^\alpha d\tau \\ &=\; \frac{|\xi|^\alpha}{\alpha+1} \geq \frac{1}{2(\alpha+1)} (|\xi|^\alpha + |\tilde{\eta}|^\alpha). \end{aligned}$$

Hence we obtain (3.2).

Set now

$$\phi(t,\eta,\xi) = c_0 \left(\int_0^t |\xi - s\eta|^2 ds - \frac{c_2}{2} t^3 |\eta|^2 \right),$$

where $c_0 > 0$ is a small constant to choose later, and c_2 is the constant in (3.2) with $\alpha = 2$. Then (3.2) implies

$$\phi(t,\eta,\xi) \geq c_0 \frac{c_2}{2}(t|\xi|^2 + t^3|\eta|^2). \tag{3.3}$$

Let $N = (2d+1)/4$. For $0 < \delta < 1/4N^2$ and $t > 0$, set

$$G_\delta = G_\delta(t,\,\eta,\,\xi) = \frac{e^{\phi(t,\eta,\xi)}}{\big(1+\delta e^{\phi(t,\eta,\xi)}\big)\,(1+\delta(|\eta|^2+|\xi|^2))^N}\,. \tag{3.4}$$

Since $G_\delta(t,\,\cdot\,\cdot\,) \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^{2d})$, we can use it as Fourier multiplier, denoted by

$$\big(G_\delta(t, D_x,\, D_v)u\big)(t,\,x,\,v) = \mathcal{F}^{-1}_{\eta,\,\xi}\Big(G_\delta(t,\,\eta,\,\xi)\hat{u}(t,\,\eta,\,\xi)\Big).$$

Lemma 3.2. *Assume that* $f(t,\,\cdot\,) \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^{2d}_{x,\,v}) \cap L^1_1(\mathbb{R}^{2d}_{x,\,v})$ *for any* $t \in]0,T[$. *Then* $\nabla_\xi w(t,\,\eta,\,\xi\,) \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^{2d}_{\eta,\xi})$, *and*

$$|\xi - t\eta| G_\delta(t,\eta,\,\xi)^2 \bar{w}(t,\,\eta,\,\xi\,),\ |\eta| G_\delta(t,\eta,\,\xi)^2 \bar{w}(t,\,\eta,\,\xi\,),\ \nabla_\xi\Big(G_\delta(t,\eta,\,\xi)^2 \bar{w}(t,\,\eta,\,\xi\,)\Big) \tag{3.5}$$

belong to $L^2(\mathbb{R}^{2d}_{\eta,\xi})$ *for any* $t \in]0,T[$.

Proof : Since $\partial_{\xi_j} w = -i\mathcal{F}(v_j f)$, it follows from $f \in L^1_1(\mathbb{R}^{2d}_{x,\,v})$ that $\nabla_\xi w(t,\,\eta,\,\xi\,) \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^{2d}_{\eta,\xi})$. Noting

$$|\xi - t\eta| G_\delta(t,\eta,\,\xi)^2, |\eta| G_\delta(t,\eta,\,\xi)^2 \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^{2d}_{\eta,\xi}),$$

we see that the first two terms of (3.5) are obvious. To check the last term in (3.5), note

$$\begin{aligned}\partial_{\xi_j} G_\delta(t,\eta,\xi) = 2c_0 t(\xi_j - \frac{t}{2}\eta_j) G_\delta(t,\eta,\xi) \frac{1}{\big(1+\delta e^{\phi(t,\eta,\xi)}\big)}\\ - \frac{2N\delta\xi_j}{(1+\delta(|\eta|^2+|\xi|^2))} G_\delta(t,\eta,\xi).\end{aligned} \tag{3.6}$$

Then, we have

$$\begin{aligned}&\nabla_\xi\Big(G_\delta(t,\eta,\,\xi)^2 \bar{w}(t,\,\eta,\,\xi\,)\Big) = G_\delta(t,\eta,\,\xi)^2 \nabla_\xi \bar{w}(t,\,\eta,\,\xi\,) + \nabla_\xi\Big(G_\delta(t,\eta,\,\xi)^2\Big)\bar{w}(t,\,\eta,\,\xi\,)\\ &= G_\delta(t,\eta,\,\xi)^2 \nabla_\xi \bar{w}(t,\,\eta,\,\xi\,) + 4c_0 t(\xi - \frac{t}{2}\eta)\frac{1}{\big(1+\delta e^{\phi(t,\eta,\xi)}\big)} G_\delta(t,\eta,\xi)^2 \bar{w}(t,\,\eta,\,\xi\,)\\ &- \frac{4N\delta\xi}{(1+\delta(|\eta|^2+|\xi|^2))} G_\delta(t,\eta,\xi)^2 \bar{w}(t,\,\eta,\,\xi\,).\end{aligned}$$

Since $G_\delta(t,\eta,\,\xi)^2 \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^{2d}_{x,\,v})$ we have

$$G_\delta(t,\eta,\,\xi)^2 \nabla_\xi \bar{w}(t,\,\eta,\,\xi\,) \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^{2d}).$$

Using

$$\left|\frac{1}{\big(1+\delta e^{\phi(t,\eta,\xi)}\big)}\right| \leq 1, \quad \left|\frac{2N\delta\xi}{(1+\delta(|\eta|^2+|\xi|^2))}\right| \leq 1,$$

and

$$\Big|(\xi-\frac{t}{2}\eta)G_\delta(t,\eta,\xi)^2\frac{1}{\big(1+\delta e^{\phi(t,\eta,\xi)}\big)}\bar{w}(t,\,\eta,\,\xi\,)\Big|\leq|\xi-\frac{t}{2}\eta|G_\delta(t,\eta,\xi)^2|\bar{w}(t,\,\eta,\,\xi\,)|$$
$$\leq|\xi-t\eta|G_\delta(t,\eta,\xi)^2|\bar{w}(t,\,\eta,\,\xi\,)|+\frac{t}{2}|\eta|G_\delta(t,\eta,\xi)^2|\bar{w}(t,\,\eta,\,\xi\,)|\in L^2(\mathbb{R}^{2d}).$$

We have proved Lemma 3.2

We take now $G_\delta(t,\eta,\,\xi)^2\bar{w}(t,\,\eta,\,\xi\,)$ as test function in the equation of (3.1). Then we have

$$\begin{aligned}&\frac{d}{dt}\|G_\delta(t,\cdot,\cdot)w(t,\,\cdot\,,\,\cdot\,)\|^2_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^{2d})}+2\int_{\mathbb{R}^{2d}}|(\xi-t\eta)G_\delta(t,\eta,\xi)w(t,\,\eta,\,\xi\,)|^2d\eta d\xi\\&=2\sum_{j=1}^{d}\int_{\mathbb{R}^{2d}}w(t,\eta,\xi)\overline{\Big(\partial_{\xi_j}(\xi_j-t\eta_j)G_\delta(t,\eta,\xi)^2w(t,\eta,\xi)\Big)}d\eta d\xi\\&\qquad+\Big(\Big(\partial_tG_\delta(t,\cdot,\,\cdot)\Big)w(t,\,\cdot\,,\,\cdot\,),G_\delta(t,\cdot,\,\cdot)w(t,\,\cdot\,,\,\cdot\,)\Big)_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^{2d})}.\end{aligned}\tag{3.7}$$

We prove now the following;

Proposition 3.1. *We have*

$$\begin{aligned}&\Big(\Big(\partial_tG_\delta(t,\cdot,\cdot)\Big)w,G_\delta(t,\cdot,\cdot)w\Big)_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^{2d})}\\=\;&c_0\int_{\mathbb{R}^{2d}}|(\xi-t\eta)G_\delta(t,\eta,\xi)w(t,\,\eta,\,\xi\,)|^2d\eta d\xi\\&\qquad-\frac{3}{2}c_0c_2t^2\int_{\mathbb{R}^{2d}}|\eta|^2|G_\delta(t,\eta,\xi)w(t,\eta,\xi)|^2\frac{1}{\big(1+\delta e^{\phi(t,\eta,\xi)}\big)}\,d\eta d\xi.\end{aligned}\tag{3.8}$$

$$\begin{aligned}&\mathrm{Re}\sum_{j=1}^{d}\int_{\mathbb{R}^{2d}}w(t,\eta,\xi)\overline{\partial_{\xi_j}\Big((\xi_j-t\eta_j)G_\delta(t,\eta,\xi)^2w(t,\eta,\xi)\Big)}d\eta d\xi\\&\leq\Big(2c_0t+\frac{c_0t^2}{3c_2}+c_0\Big)\int_{\mathbb{R}^{2d}}|(\xi-t\eta)G_\delta(t,\eta,\xi)w(t,\,\eta,\,\xi\,)|^2d\eta d\xi\\&+\frac{d+2N^2\delta/c_0}{2}\|G_\delta(t,\cdot,\cdot)w(t,\,\cdot,\,\cdot)\|^2_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^{2d})}\\&+\frac{3}{4}c_0c_2t^2\int_{\mathbb{R}^{2d}}|\eta|^2|G_\delta(t,\eta,\xi)w(t,\eta,\xi)|^2\frac{1}{\big(1+\delta e^{\phi(t,\eta,\xi)}\big)}\,d\eta d\xi\,.\end{aligned}\tag{3.9}$$

Proof of Proposition 3.1 : The estimate (3.8) is deduced from

$$\partial_tG_\delta(t,\eta,\xi)=c_0(|\xi-t\eta|^2-\frac{3}{2}c_2t^2|\eta|^2)G_\delta(t,\eta,\xi)\frac{1}{\big(1+\delta e^{\phi(t,\eta,\xi)}\big)}.$$

Since it follows from (3.6) that

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{I} &= \mathrm{Re}\sum_{j=1}^{d}\int_{\mathbb{R}^{2d}} w(t,\eta,\xi)\overline{\partial_{\xi_j}\Big((\xi_j - t\eta_j)G_\delta(t,\eta,\xi)^2 w(t,\eta,\xi)\Big)}d\eta d\xi \\
&= \mathrm{Re}\ 2c_0 t\sum_{j=1}^{d}\int_{\mathbb{R}^{2d}}(\xi_j - t\eta_j)(\xi_j - \frac{t}{2}\eta_j)|G_\delta(t,\eta,\xi)w(t,\eta,\xi)|^2\frac{1}{\big(1+\delta e^{\phi(t,\eta,\xi)}\big)}\,d\eta d\xi \\
&\quad -\mathrm{Re}\sum_{j=1}^{d}\int_{\mathbb{R}^{2d}}\frac{2N\delta\xi_j(\xi_j - t\eta_j)}{(1+\delta(|\eta|^2+|\xi|^2))}|G_\delta(t,\eta,\xi)w(t,\eta,\xi)|^2\,d\eta d\xi \\
&\quad -\mathrm{Re}\sum_{j=1}^{d}\int_{\mathbb{R}^{2d}}(\xi_j - t\eta_j)\Big(\partial_{\xi_j}G_\delta(t,\eta,\xi)w(t,\eta,\xi)\Big)\overline{G_\delta(t,\eta,\xi)w(t,\eta,\xi)}d\eta d\xi,
\end{aligned}$$

we get

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{I} &= 2c_0 t\sum_{j=1}^{d}\int_{\mathbb{R}^{2d}}(\xi_j - t\eta_j)(\xi_j - \frac{t}{2}\eta_j)|G_\delta(t,\eta,\xi)w(t,\eta,\xi)|^2\frac{1}{\big(1+\delta e^{\phi(t,\eta,\xi)}\big)}\,d\eta d\xi \\
&- \sum_{j=1}^{d}\int_{\mathbb{R}^{2d}}\frac{2N\delta\xi_j(\xi_j - t\eta_j)}{(1+\delta(|\eta|^2+|\xi|^2))}|G_\delta(t,\eta,\xi)w(t,\eta,\xi)|^2\,d\eta d\xi \\
&\qquad\qquad +\frac{d}{2}\|G_\delta(t,\cdot,\cdot)w(t,\,\cdot,\,\cdot)\|^2_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^{2d})} \\
&= 2c_0 t\int_{\mathbb{R}^{2d}}|\xi - t\eta|^2|G_\delta(t,\eta,\xi)w(t,\eta,\xi)|^2\frac{1}{\big(1+\delta e^{\phi(t,\eta,\xi)}\big)}\,d\eta d\xi \\
&+ c_0 t^2\int_{\mathbb{R}^{2d}}(\xi - t\eta)\,\cdot\,\eta|G_\delta(t,\eta,\xi)w(t,\eta,\xi)|^2\frac{1}{\big(1+\delta e^{\phi(t,\eta,\xi)}\big)}\,d\eta d\xi \\
&- \sum_{j=1}^{d}\int_{\mathbb{R}^{2d}}\frac{2N\delta\xi_j(\xi_j - t\eta_j)}{(1+\delta(|\eta|^2+|\xi|^2))}|G_\delta(t,\eta,\xi)w(t,\eta,\xi)|^2\,d\eta d\xi \\
&\qquad\qquad +\frac{d}{2}\|G_\delta(t,\cdot,\cdot)w(t,\,\cdot,\,\cdot)\|^2_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^{2d})}.
\end{aligned}$$

For the last term, noting

$$\sum_{j=1}^{d}\frac{2N\delta\xi_j(\xi_j - t\eta_j)}{(1+\delta(|\eta|^2+|\xi|^2))} \le \frac{(N^2/c_0)\delta^2|\xi|^2 + c_0|\xi - t\eta|^2}{(1+\delta(|\eta|^2+|\xi|^2))} \le N^2\delta/c_0 + c_0|\xi - t\eta|^2$$

we finally obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{I} &\le \Big(2c_0 t + \frac{c_0 t^2}{3c_2} + c_0\Big)\int_{\mathbb{R}^{2d}}|(\xi - t\eta)G_\delta(t,\eta,\xi)w(t,\,\eta,\,\xi\,)|^2 d\eta d\xi \\
&\quad + \frac{d+2N^2\delta/c_0}{2}\|G_\delta(t,\cdot,\cdot)w(t,\cdot,\cdot)\|^2_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^{2d})} \\
&\quad + \frac{3}{4}c_0 c_2 t^2\int_{\mathbb{R}^{2d}}|\eta|^2|G_\delta(t,\eta,\xi)w(t,\eta,\xi)|^2\frac{1}{\big(1+\delta e^{\phi(t,\eta,\xi)}\big)}\,d\eta d\xi\,.
\end{aligned}$$

Thus we have proved Proposition 3.1.

End of proof of Theorem 1.2 :

Now the equation (3.7), the estimate (3.8) and (3.9) deduce

$$\begin{aligned}&\frac{d}{dt}\|G_\delta(t,\cdot,\cdot)w(t,\,\cdot\,\cdot\,)\|^2_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^{2d})}\\&\qquad+\Big(2-3c_0-4c_0t-\frac{2c_0t^2}{3c_2}\Big)\int_{\mathbb{R}^{2d}}|(\xi-t\eta)G_\delta(t,\eta,\xi)w(t,\,\eta,\,\xi\,)|^2d\eta d\xi\\ \leq\quad&(d+2N^2\delta/c_0)\,\|G_\delta(t,\cdot,\cdot)w(t,\cdot,\cdot)\|^2_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^{2d})}.\end{aligned}$$

Then for any $0<T_0<T$ choose $c_0>0$ (depends on T_0) small enough such that

$$2-3c_0-4c_0T_0-\frac{2c_0T_0^2}{3c_2}\geq 0,$$

then for any $0<t\leq T_0$,

$$\frac{d}{dt}\|G_\delta(t,\cdot,\cdot)w(t,\,\cdot,\,\cdot\,)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^{2d})}\leq\frac{d+2N^2\delta/c_0}{2}\|G_\delta(t,\cdot,\cdot)w(t,\,\cdot,\,\cdot\,)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^{2d})},$$

which gives

$$\|G_\delta(t,\cdot,\cdot)w(t,\,\cdot,\,\cdot\,)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^{2d})}\leq e^{\frac{d+2N^2\delta/c_0}{2}t}\|f_0\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^{2d})}.$$

Take $\delta\to 0$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}&\int_{\mathbb{R}^{2d}}e^{c_0\int_0^t|\xi-s\eta|^2ds-c_1t^3|\eta|^2}|\hat{f}(t,\,\eta,\,\xi-t\eta\,)|^2d\eta d\xi\\=\quad&\int_{\mathbb{R}^{2d}}e^{c_0\int_0^t|\xi+(t-s)\eta|^2ds-c_1t^3|\eta|^2}|\hat{f}(t,\,\eta,\,\xi\,)|^2d\eta d\xi\leq e^{d\,t}\|f_0\|^2_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^{2d})}.\end{aligned}$$

By using (3.3), we get finally

$$\|e^{-\tilde{c}_0(t\triangle_v+t^3\triangle_x)}f(t,\,\cdot,\,\cdot\,)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^{2d})}\leq e^{\frac{d}{2}t}\|f_0\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^{2d})}$$

for any $0<t\leq T_0$, where $\tilde{c}_0=\frac{c_0c_2}{2}>0$. This is the desired estimate (1.5), which implies

$$f(t,\,\cdot,\,\cdot\,)\in\mathcal{A}^{1/2}(\mathbb{R}^{2d}).$$

We have thus proved Theorem 1.2.

4. Linear model of inhomogeneous Landau equations

We prove now the Theorem 1.3 in this section. By the change of variables $(t,x,v)\longrightarrow(t,\,x+v\,t,\,v)$, the Cauchy problem (1.8) is reduced to

$$\left\{\begin{array}{l}f_t=(\nabla_v-t\nabla_x)\Big(\bar{a}(\mu)\cdot(\nabla_v-t\nabla_x)f-\bar{b}(\mu)f\Big),\\ f|_{t=0}=g_0(x,v),\end{array}\right.\tag{4.1}$$

where $f(t, x, v) = g(t, x + v\,t, v)$. Recall that

$$\bar{a}_{ij}(\mu) = a_{ij} \star \mu = \delta_{ij}(|v|^2 + 1) - v_i v_j \ ;$$
$$\bar{b}_j(\mu) = \sum_{i=1}^{d} \big(\partial_{v_i} a_{ij}\big) \star \mu = -v_j \ ; \quad i, j = 1, \cdots, d,$$

and

$$\sum_{ij=1}^{d} \bar{a}_{ij}(\mu)\xi_i\xi_j \geq |\xi|^2, \quad \text{for all } (v, \xi) \in \mathbb{R}^{2d}.$$

In view of this Cauchy problem , we set

$$\Psi(t, \eta, \xi) = c_0 \int_0^t |\xi - s\eta| ds,$$

for a sufficiently small $c_0 > 0$ which will be chosen later on. Then we can use the (3.2) with $\alpha = 1$ to estimate Ψ. Set

$$F_\delta(t, \eta, \xi) = \frac{e^\Psi}{(1 + \delta e^\Psi)(1 + \delta\Psi)^N}$$

for $N = d + 1, 0 < \delta \leq \frac{1}{N}$. If A is a first order differential operator of (t, η, ξ) variables then we have

$$AF_\delta = \left(\frac{1}{1 + \delta e^\Psi} - \frac{N\delta}{1 + \delta\Psi}\right)(A\Psi)F_\delta, \tag{4.2}$$

and

$$\left|\frac{1}{1 + \delta e^\Psi} - \frac{N\delta}{1 + \delta\Psi}\right| \leq 1.$$

Taking

$$F_\delta(t, D_x, D_v)^2 f = F_\delta(t)^2 f \in H^{2N}(\mathbb{R}^{2d})$$

as a test function in the weak solution formula of (4.1), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\frac{1}{2}\frac{d}{dt}\|F_\delta(t)f\|^2_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^{2d})} + \Big(\bar{a}(\mu)\Big((\nabla_v - t\nabla_x)F_\delta(t)f\Big), \Big((\nabla_v - t\nabla_x)F_\delta(t)f\Big)\Big)_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^{2d})} \\
= \quad & -\sum_{j=1}^{d} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2d}} v_j f \ \overline{\Big((\partial_{v_j} - t\partial_{x_j})F_\delta(t)^2 f\Big)} dxdv + \frac{1}{2}\Big(\big(\partial_t F_\delta\big) f, \ F_\delta(t) f\Big)_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^{2d})} \\
& + \sum_{j,k=1}^{d} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2d}} \Big\{\bar{a}_{jk}(\mu)\Big(F_\delta(t)(\partial_{v_j} - t\partial_{x_j})\Big) f - F_\delta(t)\Big(\bar{a}_{jk}(\mu)(\partial_{v_j} - t\partial_{x_j})f\Big)\Big\} \\
& \qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad \times \overline{\Big((\partial_{v_k} - t\partial_{x_k})F_\delta(t)f\Big)} dxdv.
\end{aligned}$$

We prove now the following results.

Proposition 4.1. *We have*

$$\|(\nabla_v - t\nabla_x)F_\delta(t)f\|^2_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^{2d})}$$
$$\leq \Big(\bar{a}(\mu)\Big((\nabla_v - t\nabla_x)F_\delta(t)f\Big),\ \Big((\nabla_v - t\nabla_x)F_\delta(t)f\Big)\Big)_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^{2d})}. \tag{4.3}$$

$$\Big|\Big(\Big(\partial_t F_\delta(t)\Big)f,\ F_\delta(t)f\Big)_{L^2}\Big| \leq c_0\|(\nabla_v - t\nabla_x)F_\delta(t)f\|_{L^2}\|F_\delta(t)f\|_{L^2}. \tag{4.4}$$

$$-\operatorname{Re}\sum_{j=1}^d \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2d}} v_j f\ \overline{\Big((\partial_{v_j} - t\partial_{x_j})F_\delta(t)^2 f\Big)} \leq \frac{d}{2}\|F_\delta(t)f\|^2_{L^2}$$
$$+c_0 t\|(\nabla_v - t\nabla_x)F_\delta f(t)\|_{L^2}\|F_\delta f(t)\|_{L^2}\,. \tag{4.5}$$

Proof : The estimate (4.3) is a direct consequence of the elliptic condition (1.7). Using the Fourier transformation and noting (4.2), we see that (4.4) is derived from

$$\partial_t F_\delta(t,\eta,\xi) = \left(\frac{1}{1+\delta e^{\Psi}} - \frac{N\delta}{1+\delta\Psi}\right)(\partial_t\Psi)F_\delta\,,\ \ \partial_t\Psi = c_0|\xi - t\eta|.$$

For (4.5), we have firstly

$$-\operatorname{Re}\sum_{j=1}^d \int_{\mathbb{R}^6} v_j F_\delta(t)f\ \overline{\Big((\partial_{v_j} - t\partial_{x_j})F_\delta(t)f\Big)} = \frac{d}{2}\|F_\delta(t)f\|^2_{L^2}.$$

For the commutators $[v_j,\ F_\delta(t)]$, using Fourier transformation, we have that for $t > 0$ and $\hat{f} = \hat{f}(t,\eta,\xi)$

$$-\sum_{j=1}^d \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2d}} \Big([F_\delta(t,D_x,D_v),v_j]f(t,x,v)\Big)\overline{\Big((\partial_{v_j} - t\partial_{x_j})F_\delta(t,D_x,D_v)f(t,x,v)\Big)}dxdv$$
$$= -\sum_{j=1}^d \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2d}} \Big(F_\delta(t,D_x,D_v)v_j f(t) - v_j F_\delta(t,D_x,D_v)f(t)\Big)$$
$$\times\ \overline{\Big((\partial_{v_j} - t\partial_{x_j})F_\delta(t,D_v)f(t)\Big)}dxdv$$
$$= \sum_{j=1}^3 \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2d}} \Big\{i\partial_{\xi_j}\big(F_\delta(t,\eta,\xi)\hat{f}(t)\big) - F_\delta(t,\eta,\xi)\big(i\partial_{\xi_j}\hat{f}(t)\big)\Big\}F_\delta(t,\eta,\xi)$$
$$\times\ \overline{i(\xi_j - t\eta_j)\hat{f}(t)}d\eta d\xi$$
$$= \sum_{j=1}^d \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2d}} \Big(\partial_{\xi_j}F_\delta(t,\eta,\xi)\Big)\hat{f}(t)(\xi_j - t\eta_j)F_\delta(t,\eta,\xi)\overline{\hat{f}(t)}d\eta d\xi$$
$$\leq c_0 t\int_{\mathbb{R}^{2d}} |\xi - t\eta||F_\delta(t,\eta,\xi)\hat{f}(t)|^2 d\eta d\xi \leq c_0 t\|(\nabla_v - t\nabla_x)F_\delta f(t)\|_{L^2}\|F_\delta f(t)\|_{L^2},$$

where, in view of (4.2), we have used the fact that

$$\left|\sum_{j=1}^d (\partial_{\xi_j}\Psi)(t,\eta,\xi)\times(\xi_j - t\eta_j)\right| \leq c_0\int_0^1 \left|\sum_{j=1}^3 \frac{\xi_j - s\eta_j}{|\xi - s\eta|}(\xi_j - t\eta_j)\right| ds \leq c_0 t|\xi - t\eta|.$$

Thus (4.5) has been proved.

For the commutator terms, we have

Proposition 4.2. *There exists a constant $C_1 > 0$ independent of $\delta > 0$ such that*

$$\Big|\sum_{j,k=1}^{d}\int_{\mathbb{R}^{2d}}\Big\{\bar{a}_{jk}(\mu)\Big(F_\delta(t)(\partial_{v_j}-t\partial_{x_j})\Big)f - F_\delta(t)\Big(\bar{a}_{jk}(\mu)(\partial_{v_j}-t\partial_{x_j})f\Big)\Big\} \times \overline{\Big((\partial_{v_k}-t\partial_{x_k})F_\delta(t)f\Big)}\ \Big|$$

$$\leq C_1\left\{(c_0t)^2\|(\nabla_v - t\nabla_x)F_\delta(t)f\|^2_{L^2} + \|F_\delta(t)f\|^2_{L^2}\right\}. \tag{4.6}$$

Proof : In order to prove (4.6), we introduce the polar coordinates of ξ centered at $t\eta$, that is ,

$$r = |\xi - t\eta| \quad \text{and} \quad \omega = \frac{\xi - t\eta}{|\xi - t\eta|} \in \mathbb{S}^{d-1}.$$

Note again that $\partial/\partial\xi_j = \omega_j\partial/\partial r + r^{-1}\Omega_j$ where Ω_j is a vector field on $\mathbb{S}^{d-1}$. We have again

$$\sum_{j=1}^{d}\omega_j\Omega_j = 0,\ \sum_{j=1}^{d}\Omega_j\omega_j = d-1,\ \ .$$

By means of Plancherel formula, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\sum_{j,k=1}^{d}\int_{\mathbb{R}^{2d}}\Big\{\bar{a}_{jk}(\mu)\Big(F_\delta(t)(\partial_{v_j}-t\partial_{x_j})\Big) - F_\delta(t)\Big(\bar{a}_{jk}(\mu)(\partial_{v_j}-t\partial_{x_j})f\Big)\Big\} \times \overline{\Big((\partial_{v_k}-t\partial_{x_k})F_\delta(t)f\Big)}\\
=\ & -\int_{\mathbb{R}^{2d}}\Big\{\sum_{j,k=1}^{d}(\xi_k - t\eta_k)\Big[\Big(\delta_{jk}\Delta_\xi - \partial_{\xi_k}\partial_{\xi_j}\Big),\ F_\delta(t,\eta,\xi)\Big](\xi_j - t\eta_j)\hat{f}(t)\Big\} \times \overline{F_\delta(t,\eta,\xi)\hat{f}(t)}d\xi d\eta\\
=\ & J\,.
\end{aligned}$$

Noting again

$$\Delta_\xi = \frac{\partial^2}{\partial r^2} + \frac{d-1}{r}\frac{\partial}{\partial r} + \frac{1}{r^2}\sum_{l=1}^{d}\Omega_l^2\,,$$

we have with $\tilde{F}_\delta(t,\eta,\,r,\,\omega) = F_\delta(t,\eta,r\cdot\omega + t\eta) = F_\delta(t,\eta,\xi)$

$$\begin{aligned}
&-\sum_{j,k=1}^{d}\omega_k\Bigg[\Big(\delta_{jk}\Big\{\frac{\partial^2}{\partial r^2} + \frac{d-1}{r}\frac{\partial}{\partial r} + \frac{1}{r^2}\sum_{l=1}^{d}\Omega_l^2\Big\} - \Big\{(\omega_k\frac{\partial}{\partial r} + r^{-1}\Omega_k)(\omega_j\frac{\partial}{\partial r} + r^{-1}\Omega_j)\Big\}\Big),\ \tilde{F}_\delta\Bigg]\omega_j\\
&= -\Big[\frac{\partial^2}{\partial r^2} + \frac{d-1}{r}\frac{\partial}{\partial r},\ \tilde{F}_\delta\Big]
\end{aligned}$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
&+\Big[\Big(\sum_{k=1}^{d}(\omega_k^2\frac{\partial}{\partial r}+r^{-1}\omega_k\Omega_k)\sum_{j=1}^{d}(\omega_j^2\frac{\partial}{\partial r}+r^{-1}\Omega_j\omega_j)\Big),\ \ \tilde{F}_\delta\Big]\\
-\frac{1}{r^2}\sum_{j=1}^{d}\omega_j\Big[\sum_{l=1}^{d}\Omega_l^2,\ \ \tilde{F}_\delta\Big]\omega_j &= A_1+A_2+A_3.
\end{aligned}
$$

Note again that

$$A_1+A_2=-\Big[\frac{\partial^2}{\partial r^2}+\frac{d-1}{r}\frac{\partial}{\partial r}\,,\,\tilde{F}_\delta\Big]+\Big[\frac{\partial^2}{\partial r^2}+\frac{\partial}{\partial r}\frac{d-1}{r}\,,\,\tilde{F}_\delta\Big]=0.$$

On the other hand, we have in view of (4.2)

$$
\begin{aligned}
A_3 &= -\frac{1}{r^2}\sum_{j,l=1}^{d}\omega_j\Big(2\Omega_l[\Omega_l,\ \tilde{F}_\delta]-\Big[\Omega_l\,,\ [\Omega_l,\ \tilde{F}_\delta]\Big]\Big)\omega_j\\
&= -\frac{1}{r^2}\sum_{j,l=1}^{d}\omega_j\left(2\Omega_l\left(\frac{(\Omega_l\Psi)}{1+\delta e^{\Psi}}-\frac{N\delta(\Omega_l\Psi)}{1+\delta\Psi}\right)\tilde{F}_\delta\right.\\
&\left.-\left(\left(\frac{(\Omega_l\Psi)}{1+\delta e^{\Psi}}-\frac{N\delta(\Omega_l\Psi)}{1+\delta\Psi}\right)^2+\left(\Omega_l\left(\frac{(\Omega_l\Psi)}{1+\delta e^{\Psi}}-\frac{N\delta(\Omega_l\Psi)}{1+\delta\Psi}\right)\right)\right)\tilde{F}_\delta\right)\omega_j.
\end{aligned}
$$

Putting $w_j=\omega_j\tilde{F}_\delta\ w$ with $w(t,\eta,r,\omega)=\hat{f}(t,\eta,r\,\cdot\,\omega+t\eta)$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
J\ &= \operatorname{Re}\,J=\operatorname{Re}\int_{\mathbb{R}^d_\eta}\int_0^\infty\int_{S^{d-1}}r^2(A_3w)\ \overline{\tilde{F}_\delta w}\ r^{d-1}drd\omega d\eta\\
&= \ -\sum_{j,l=1}^{d}\operatorname{Re}\int_{\mathbb{R}^d_\eta}\int_0^\infty\int_{S^{d-1}}\left\{2\Omega_l\left(\frac{(\Omega_l\Psi)}{1+\delta e^{\Psi}}-\frac{N\delta(\Omega_l\Psi)}{1+\delta\Psi}\right)w_j\right\}\overline{w_j}\ r^{d-1}drd\omega d\eta\\
&+\ \ \sum_{j,l=1}^{d}\int_{\mathbb{R}^d_\eta}\int_0^\infty\int_{S^{d-1}}\left(\left(\frac{(\Omega_l\Psi)}{1+\delta e^{\Psi}}-\frac{N\delta(\Omega_l\Psi)}{1+\delta\Psi}\right)^2\right.\\
&\qquad\qquad\left.+\left(\Omega_l\left(\frac{(\Omega_l\Psi)}{1+\delta e^{\Psi}}-\frac{N\delta(\Omega_l\Psi)}{1+\delta\Psi}\right)\right)\right)|w_j|^2r^{d-1}drd\omega d\eta\\
&= \ \ J_1+J_2.
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $\Omega_l^*=-\Omega_l+(d-1)\omega_l$, the integration by parts gives

$$
\begin{aligned}
J_1=\ \ & -\textstyle\sum_{j,l=1}^{d}\int_{\mathbb{R}^d_\eta}\int_0^\infty\int_{S^{d-1}}\left\{\left(\Omega_l\left(\frac{(\Omega_l\Psi)}{1+\delta e^{\Psi}}-\frac{N\delta(\Omega_l\Psi)}{1+\delta\Psi}\right)\right)\right.\\
&\left.+(d-1)\omega_l\left(\frac{(\Omega_l\Psi)}{1+\delta e^{\Psi}}-\frac{N\delta(\Omega_l\Psi)}{1+\delta\Psi}\right)\right\}|w_j|^2r^{d-1}drd\omega d\eta.
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence we obtain

$$J\ \ =\ \ \sum_{j,l=1}^{d}\int_{\mathbb{R}^d_\eta}\int_0^\infty\int_{S^{d-1}}\left\{\left(\frac{1}{1+\delta e^{\Psi}}-\frac{N\delta}{1+\delta\Psi}\right)^2(\Omega_l\Psi)^2\right.\qquad(4.7)$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\left.-(d-1)\omega_l\left(\frac{1}{1+\delta e^{\Psi}}-\frac{N\delta}{1+\delta\Psi}\right)(\Omega_l\Psi)\right\}|w_j|^2r^{d-1}drd\omega d\eta\\
= {} & \int_{\mathbb{R}^d_\eta}\int_0^\infty\int_{S^{d-1}}\left\{\left(\frac{1}{1+\delta e^{\Psi}}-\frac{N\delta}{1+\delta\Psi}\right)^2\left(\sum_{l=1}^d(\Omega_l\Psi)^2\right)\right.\\
&\left.-(d-1)\left(\frac{1}{1+\delta e^{\Psi}}-\frac{N\delta}{1+\delta\Psi}\right)\left(\sum_{l=1}^d\omega_l(\Omega_l\Psi)\right)\right\}|\tilde{F}_\delta w|^2r^{d-1}drd\omega d\eta.
\end{aligned}
$$

Since there exists a constant $C_d > 0$ such that

$$
|\Omega_l\Psi| = c_0 r\left|\sum_{j=1}^d\int_0^t\frac{\xi_j-s\eta_j}{|\xi-s\eta|}ds(\Omega_l\omega_j)\right| \le c_0C_dtr, \tag{4.8}
$$

we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
|J| \quad \le \quad C'_d & \left\{(c_0t)^2\int_{\mathbb{R}^d_\eta}\int_0^\infty\int_{S^{d-1}}r^2|\tilde{F}_\delta w|^2r^{d-1}drd\omega d\eta\right.\\
&\left.+\int_{\mathbb{R}^d_\eta}\int_0^\infty\int_{S^{d-1}}|\tilde{F}_\delta w|^2r^{d-1}drd\omega d\eta\right\},
\end{aligned}
$$

which yields (4.6). The proof of Proposition 4.2 is now complete.

End of proof of Theorem 1.3 :

From Propositions 4.1 and 4.2, there exist constants C_2, $C_3 > 0$ independent of $\delta > 0$ and $t > 0$ such that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{1}{2}\frac{d}{dt}\|(F_\delta f)(t)\|^2_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^{2d})} + \left(\frac{1}{2}-(c_0t)^2C_2\right)&\|(\nabla_v - t\nabla_x)(F_\delta f)(t)\|^2_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^{2d})}\\
&\le C_3\|(F_\delta f)(t)\|^2_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^{2d})}.
\end{aligned}
$$

So that if $\frac{1}{2}-(c_0t)^2C_2 \ge 0$, we have,

$$
\frac{d}{dt}\|(F_\delta f)(t)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^{2d})} \le C_3\|(F_\delta f)(t)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^{2d})}. \tag{4.9}
$$

Using the fact $(F_\delta f)(0) = \frac{1}{1+\delta}\,g_0$, we get

$$
\|(F_\delta f)(t)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^{2d})} \le e^{C_3t}\|g_0\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^{2d})}.
$$

Take the limit $\delta \to 0$. Then we have

$$
\int_{\mathbb{R}^{2d}} e^{2\Psi(t,\eta,\xi)}|\hat{f}(t,\eta,\xi)|^2d\eta d\xi \le e^{2C_3t}\|g_0\|^2_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^{2d})}. \tag{4.10}
$$

On the other hand, by Lemma 3.1, there exists a $c_1 > 0$ such that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{\mathbb{R}^{2d}} e^{2\Psi(t,\eta,\xi)}|\hat{f}(t,\eta,\xi)|^2d\eta d\xi \quad &= \quad \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2d}} e^{2c_0\int_0^t|\xi-s\eta|ds}|\hat{g}(t,\eta,\xi-t\eta)|^2d\eta d\xi\\
&= \quad \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2d}} e^{2c_0\int_0^t|\xi+(t-s)\eta|ds}|\hat{g}(t,\eta,\xi)|^2d\eta d\xi\\
&\ge \quad \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2d}} e^{2c_0c_1(t|\xi|+t^2|\eta|)}|\hat{g}(t,\eta,\xi)|^2d\eta d\xi.
\end{aligned}
$$

Finally, for any $0 < T_0 < T$, choosing $c_0 > 0$ small enough such that $\frac{1}{2} - (c_0 T_0)^2 C_2 \geq 0$, we have proved,

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^{2d}} \left| e^{c_0 c_1 (t(-\triangle_v)^{1/2} + t^2(-\triangle_x)^{1/2})} g(t,\, x,\, v) \right|^2 dx\, dv \leq e^{2C_3 t} \|g_0\|^2_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^{2d})} \quad \text{for any } \ 0 < t \leq T_0\,,$$

which completes the proof of Theorem 1.3 with $C = 2C_3$ depending only on d.

Remark 4.1. *The formulas (4.7) and (4.8) show that we cannot get the ultra-analytic effect of order* $1/2$ *as in Theorem 1.2. It is the same reason why we do not consider the symmetric term* $Q(g, \mu)$ *in the equation (1.8) as in [1].*

Acknowledgments: Authors wish to express their hearty gratitude to Seiji Ukai who communicated Lemma 3.1. The research of the first author was supported by Grant-in-Aid for Scientific Research No.18540213, Japan Society of the Promotion of Science, and the second author would like to thank the support of Kyoto University for his visit there.

References

[1] R.Alexandre, Y.Morimoto, S.Ukai, C.-J.Xu and T.Yang, Uncertainty principle and kinetic equations, *J. Funct. Anal.* **255** (2008) 2013-2066.

[2] R. Alexandre and M. Safadi, Littlewood Paley decomposition and regularity issues in Boltzmann equation homogeneous equations. I. Non cutoff case and Maxwellian molecules, *Math. Models Methods Appl. Sci.*, **15** (2005) 907–920.

[3] R. Alexandre and M. Safadi, Littlewood Paley decomposition and regularity issues in Boltzmann homogeneous equations. II. Non cutoff and non Maxwell cases. *Discrete Contin. Dyn. Syst. A,* **24**(1)(2009)1-11.

[4] H. Chen, W. Li and C.-J. Xu, The Gevrey Hypoellipticity for linear and non-linear Fokker-Planck equations, *to appear "J. Diff. Equat."*

[5] H. Chen, W. Li and C.-J. Xu, Gevrey class regularity for the solution of the spatially homogeneous Landau equation, *Kinetic and related models* **1** (2008) no. 3 355-368

[6] Y. Chen, L. Desvillettes and L. He, Smoothing Effects for Classical Solutions of the Full Landau Equation, *to appear in Arch. Rat. Mech. and Analysis*

[7] Y. Chen, Smoothness of Classical Solutions to the Vlasov-Poisson-Landau System, *Kinetic and Related Models* **1**(2008), no. 3, 369-386.

[8] L. Desvillettes, G,Furiolo and E. Terraneo, Propagation of Gevrey regularity for solutions of the Boltzmann equation for Maxwellian molecules. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.,***361**(2009)1731-1747.

[9] L. Desvillettes and C. Villani, On the Spatially Homogeneous Landau Equation for Hard Potentials. Part I: Existence, Uniqueness and Smoothness. *Comm. Partial Differential Equations* **25** (2000), no. 1-2, 179–259

[10] L. Desvillettes and C. Villani, On the spatially homogeneous Landau equation for hard potentials. II. H-theorem and applications. *Comm. Partial Differential Equations* **25** (2000), no. 1-2, 261–298.

[11] L. Desvillettes and C. Villani, On the trend to global equilibrium in spatially inhomogeneous entropy-dissipating systems: the linear Fokker-Planck equation. *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.* **54** (2001), no. 1, 1–42.

[12] L. Desvillettes and B. Wennberg, Smoothness of the solution of the spatially homogeneous Boltzmann equation without cutoff. *Comm. Partial Differential Equations*, **29-1-2** (2004) 133–155.

[13] Y. Guo, The Landau equation in a periodic box. *Comm. Math. Phys.* **231** (2002), no. 3, 391–434.

[14] L. Hörmander, The analysis of linear partial differential operators II, Springer-Verlag, 1983.

[15] Z. H. Huo, Y.Morimoto, S.Ukai and T.Yang, Regularity of entropy solutions for spatially homogeneous Boltzmann equation without Angular cutoff, *Kinetic and Related Models* **1**(2008), no. 3, 453–489.

[16] Y. Morimoto and C.-J. Xu, Hypoellipticity for a class of kinetic equations, *J. Math. Kyoto Univ.* **47** (2007), 129–152.

[17] Y. Morimoto, S.Ukai, C.-J.Xu and T.Yang, Regularity of solutions to the spatially homogeneous Boltzmann equation without angular cutoff. *Discrete Contin. Dyn. Syst. A,* **24**(1)(2009)187-212.

[18] S. Ukai, Local solutions in Gevrey classes to the nonlinear Boltzmann equation without cutoff, *Japan J. Appl. Math.***1**(1984), no. 1, 141–156.

[19] C. Villani, On the spatially homogeneous Landau equation for Maxwellian molecules. *Math. Model and Methods appl Sciences* **8**(1998) 957–983.

[20] C. Villani, On a new class of weak solutions to the spatially homogeneous Boltzmann and Landau equations, *Arch. Rational Mech. Anal.,* **143**(1998) 273–307.

[21] C. Villani, A review of mathematical topics in collisional kinetic theory. Handbook of Fluid Mechanics. Ed. S. Friedlander, D.Serre, 2002.